

Question: Doesn't a woman have a right to privacy that protects her ability to make personal decisions in private, with out the imposition of "Big Brother", including the "right" to privacy that allows her to decide whether her child lives or dies?

Quick Response: It is not mere opinion that two bodies are involved in this decision but a scientific fact. The unborn baby is a separate and unique person who also has "rights". A woman's right to privacy shouldn't infringe upon an unborn babies right to life.

Common mistakes to avoid when arguing this point:

1. Don't allow the pro-abortionist to discount the scientific facts of life:

- The baby is another part of his/her mothers body
- The baby isn't one of us until there is "meaningful" life, or until "ensoulment"
- It's not a human being/person, it's just a blob of tissue.
- It's not a baby.
- The fetus is only a potential human being.
- Life begins at birth.

The simple answer to all their rhetoric ends with the irrefutable scientific fact that at the moment of fertilization two separate cells form one new life, genetically distinct in every way from every other human being on earth. The color of our eyes, the shape of our hands, even where we put on weight and when we will go bald was programmed into that one tiny cell that we all began our lives as.

Stick with the "fetal facts" on this one: -Life begins at conception-Heart begins to beat at 18 to 21 days after fertilization (remind the pro-abortionist that most abortions take place between the eighth and eleventh week of pregnancy six weeks after the heart begins to beat)-At six weeks a baby's brain waves can be registered with an EEG-At eight weeks all body systems are present, including little fingers and toes.

- 2. Don't allow the pro-abortionist to strip the unborn of their rights of personhood because of the unborn baby's issue of dependency on the mother-** Newborn babies are utterly dependent upon their parents for survival. They are helpless and will die if left to themselves. Such dependency doesn't make them non-persons, and it shouldn't make unborn children non-persons either. Human beings *outside* the womb who must rely on kidney machines or pace-makers or insulin shots for their survival do not lose their rights of personhood for such dependency. Neither should human beings *inside* the womb lose their rights of personhood for being dependent upon an umbilical cord for their survival.